This paper not to be cited without prior reference to the author

C.M. 1977/ F:13

Demersal Fish (Northern) Cttee

Malkov A.S Timoshenko N.M. Digitalization sponsored AtlantNIRO Kaliningrad USSR



by Thünen-Institut

THE RESULTS OF O-GROUP HADDOCK AND WHITING INVESTIGATIONS IN THE NORTH SEA, 1973-1976

Abstract

The results of the 0-group gadoid trawling surveys in the North Sea in 1973-1976 are analysed, and the distribution and size of the young haddock and whiting by area described. The regions of the highest occurrence of the species are shown. The necessity to extend the standard survey area is scientifically proved. It is suggested that the recommended increase of the station number does not facilitate the extension of confidence limits in the average catch assessment.

Malkov A.S. Timoshenko N.M. AtlantNIRO Kaliningrad USSR

LES RÉSULTATS DES CAMPAGNES DE CHALUTAGE DES GADIDÉS DE O-GROUPE DANS LA MER DU NORD. 1973-1976 Exposé

Sont analisés les résultats des campagnes de chalutage des Gadidés de O-groupe dans la mer du Nord en 1973-1976 et sont décrites la dèstribution et la grandeur d'églefin et de merlan jeunes dans des différentes régions de la mer. Les régions de la plus haute occurence des éspèces ont été montrées. La nécessité d'extention des limites de la région standarlisé de prospections est prouvée. On a montré, que l'augmentation récommendé de nombre des stations ne facilite pas de l'extention des limites confidentielles d'estimation de la capture moyenne.

1. Introduction

Pelagic surveys of O-group gadoids have been carried out by the AtlantNIRO since 1973. This was necessiated by the need to obtain the data on behaviour, distribution and growth of gadoids during the early months of their life and to reveal the possibilities of their quantitative calculation in order to determine the year class strength.

2. Methods

In pelagic surveys, as well as in trawling surveys of the adult gadoids and international North Sea young herring surveys 2-panel trawl has been used. Headline length is 27 m, vertical opening at the towing speed_of_2.5-knots_is_7-8 m. The trawlis lined with the gauze having 196 orifices per square cm. The perimeter of the trawl opening is 18 m. One hauling of 1 hour duration was made in the centre of a statistical square during the light hours of the day.

In 1973 and 1974 the haulings were made at three horizons within the upper 30 m layer, 20 minutes each, accordingly at the upper, intermediate and lower levels. Since 1975 the surface and pre-bottom layers and that under the thermocline have been fished. In case of absence of the latter the middle part of. the water column was surveyed.

The 1973 survey was the experimental one and was conducted only in the north-west part of the sea (fig. 1). Later the attempts were made to cover larger area.

3. Results

6

3.1 Distribution

The data on the catch size are shown in Figs. 1-8. In the first half of July 1973 haddock was fished mainly pelagically in the north North Sea, while whiting - in the southern part of the north-central area. In July-August 1974 the abundance of whiting was the lowest in the central part of the sea and the highest along the Scotland coast, where almost all haddock catch was also taken. The fact that during the 1974 survey the heddock catch was extremely small indicated that in July-August the fishing in the upper 30 m layer was not effectiva In the course of the total fishing made in June-July 1975 almost all haddock was caught in the north part of the sea, and the largest stable catches of whiting were yielded from the squares adjacent to Scagerrak and Scotland, and also from the north-west part of the sea and from the Dogger Bank. Similar distribution was recorded in July-August 1976.

3.2 Statistical treatment of the data

The availability of the four-year series of the data obtained in June, July and August allows to seak reguliarities in the distribution of the young fish. The areas of stable occurrence of the fish (Fig. 11), for which the annual values of the average catch have been calculated, are contoured based on the data on the average catch for the given years as shown in Figs. 9-10. Such values were also calculated for the area (Fig. 12) which had been selected by Daan et al. as a standard one for similar surveys (CM 1975/F:33). Since in the above case the geometrical averaging suggested by R.Jones (1954) was applied our data were also subjected to logarithmic transformation in order to obtain comparable results, though the catch groupings by division not always agree well with a corresponding distribution pattern. The agreement might be closer if several haulings had been made per statistical square, which would reduce the number of zero and too large catches. The results of the data treatment are presented in Table 1.

The results of fish measurement always agree well with the normal distribution and may be characterized by the arithmetical means given in Table 2 together with the estimates of the least and largest lengths. The averaging was made separately for the traditionally selected North Sea areas which are contoured by a broken line in fig. 12. In addition, two regions divided by the 50-m isobath have been selected in the central area for whiting. This isobath coincided with the zone of the lowest occurrence of the whiting fry.

3.3 Discussion

The analysis of all data on the catch of O-group haddock and whiting within the area contoured in fig. 12 confirms the idea that the bulk of the fry is concentrated in this area. However, the southward extension of the survey borders may be useful for determination of the direct correlation between the abundance of the fish within and beyond the given area. It is especially true for whiting, the proportion of which in 1974-1976 was accordingly 71%, 51% and 31% of the total catch taken during the surveys. The extension of the investigation area borders as shown by a broken line in fig. 11 not only does not widen the confidence limits of the average catch values as the abundance index, but even somewhat reduces these limits (Table 1). The diffusion of the average value is not changed considerably when the data on the catch of the whiting fry are grouped separately by the northern and southern parts of the area of their stable occurrance. The annual distribution of O-group whiting which is an indirect evidence of the availability of two isolated populations in the south and in the north is in favour of such division.

It is hardly possible to select the area suitable for estimation of the abundance values both for haddock and whiting O-groups. According to Table 2, the sizes of the fish caught during later surveys are larger. Slightly increased growth rate of whiting in the southern part of the sea in 1976 as compared with that in the previous year, presents the exception and cannot be attributed to the lack of the data, for the number of specimens measured has been large enough. The irregular growth rate of whiting may be a typical case for the area. The idea of irregular growth rate of O-group whiting as a typical phenomenon for the area is confirmed by the annual high dispersion values of size distribution illustrating the difference between the extended size series in the north.

The survey year 1976 is characterized by increased dispersion of lengths of both species without marked increase of the length range. Although the available data are not enough to analyse the reasons of this phenomenon, we may, however, to appraise its possible influence on the results of the surveys. The extended size series may be characterized by the average value which is

acceptible enough, however, they may also contain a large number of sizable fishes notable for higher ability to avoid the gear. Therefore, the first half of August, when 0-group haddock may attain over 16 cm in length should be considered the last term for the surveys. Simultaneously, a certain amount of very small fish, in particular, of whiting ranging from 0 to 1 cm body length and available in the catches yielded by the beginning of August necessiates the conduction of the survey during this period as well.

3.4 Conclusions.

Despite the difference between the hatching dates and growth rates, and differing variability of these factors in haddock and whiting, the abundance of O-group fish of both species may be estimated simultaneously. The data grouping by species should be made for each region. In July-August the young whiting from the middle and southern parts of the sea is characterized by a wider size range including the specimens below 1 cm. Therefore, a more extended series of surveys can be receommended for these areas compared to the northern part for better record of generations.

The estimation of the young had catches taken during

7.9

13.7

0.9711

1.8160

I - number of squares investigated

	I - arithme y - arithmet	tical mean ical mean of	the values	y = 1n (X	+ I)
Area		Year	N	ž	Ţ
	2				
Bordered by	a solid line	1974	49	/ 30.I	2.4473
in the Fig.1	1.2.	1975	55	46.I	I. 9270
•		1976	66	5.0	0.8816
	mean	1974-1976	68	20.5	2.0311
Bordered by	a broken	1974	78	24.9	2.2592
line in the	upper part	1975	8I	60.I	2.5183
or Fig. 11		I976	8I	12.8	I.2848
•	mean	1974-1976	82	31.3	2.8013
Bordered by	a broken	1974	36 •	34.5	2.6993
line in the	upper part	1975	39	49.8	I.7857
oi rig. II		1976	39	3.7	0.7690
	mean	1974-1976	40	26.4	2.4877
Bordered by	a broken	1974	42	I6.6	I.8862
line in the	lower part	1975	42	69.7	3.2007
of FIG. 11	های از است را بر کار در این از است از از این کار	1976	42	21.2	I.7664
	mean	1974-1976	42	35.9	3.1054
. •					. Ha
Bordened by	e solid line	T974	4T	6.0	0.8448
in Fig. 12		1975	52	22.5	T.30T3
		1976	57	5.4	0.6094
	mean	1974-1976	58	10.0	I.2747
Dandanad h-		T974	45	3.4	0.7756
line in Fig.	. 11	T975	45	25 8	T 1033
-			NO 1	NU+V	19-2000

1976

mean 1974-1976

45

45

ΙU

dock and whiting occurrence in the

0-group surveys

M geometrical mean - expy +(0.4994S²_y(N-I)/N)-I Diffusion factor - a ratio of confidence limits to M

M.	s ²	SŢ	95% confidence limi	t Diffusion t factor
Whiting				
47.8	. 2.9487	0.2453	78.21-29.22	I.02
45.9	3.9200	0.2670	78.II-26.79	I.12
3.9	I.4742	0.1494	5.38- 2.95	0.61
9 21.0	2.1588	0.1781	29.99-14.73	0.72
34.1	2.6373	0.1839	49.16-23.72	0.74
96.0	4.170	0.2265	150.73-61.10	0.93
9.8	2.2374	. 0.1662	13.77- 7.10	0.67
33.I	I.4764	0.1342	43.04-25.46	0.53
59.0	2.8757	0.2826	104.54-33.31	I.20
42.4	4.0812	0.3235	81.48-22.07	I.40
3.I	1.3213	0.1841	4.5 - 2.14	0.76
25.4	I.6207	0.2013	37.98-16.98	0.82
18.1	2.1850	0.2281	28.72-II.42	0.95
125.6	3.3653	0.2831	222.22-70.35	I.2I
20.3	2.6555	0.2514	33.77-12.33	I.05
38.7	I.1830	0.1678	54.22-27.69	0.68
Jock				
3.6	I.4006	0.1848	5.23- 2.48	0.76
14.2	2.9012	0.2362	. 22.84- 8.81	0.96
2.2	I.1635	0.1487	3.00- I.80	0.53
7.5	I.7827	0.1753	10.76- 5.34	0.71
2.7	I.0997	0.1563	3.72- I.98	0.64
I8.3 ·	3.1973	0,2665	31.27-10.74	I.II
4.6	I.5452	,0.1853	6.71- 3.17	0.76
12.2	I.5667	0.1866	17.77- 8.38	0.76
			Contraction of the state of	

II

Mean length (I), dispersion (S^2) and length range of O-group haddock and whiting during the surveys. 1-74-1976

Table 2

			the start	2.10.20 (m. 1.1.1)		
	Area	: Date	n	(cm)	length range	s²
	د المحمد مي المراجع مراجعها بي ا	I	addock			
	North	29.7- 1.8.1974	49	9.0	5.5-12.0	2.2
		9-25.6.1975	679	3.4	I.5- 7.5	0.6
•••• <u>*</u> •		7-12.8.1976	259	II.I	6.0-16.0	3.8
	North-	28.7- 5.8.1974	205	8,8	3.0-12.0	2.I
	Central	8.6-15.7.1975	- 44	5.2	2.0- 7.0	I.2
		2- 6.8.1976	71	8.I	5.5-II.5	2.5
•	Central	19-21.7.1975	42	6.7	4.0-9.0	I.3
,		18.7- 1.8.1976	24	7.9	5.0-9.5	I.9
		W	hiting			
			1000 11-0 - 11-0		A A -	.
	North	29.7~ 1.8.1974	4/7	9.1	6.0-11.0	0.7
1		. 9-23.6.19/5	337	2.3	1.0- 3.5	0.3
	North-	28.7- 5.8.1974	656	8.8	3.0-12.0	I.6
	.centrar	8.6-15.7.1975	281	2.7	I.O- 5.0	0.6
		3- 6.8.1976	-119	6.4	2.0-10.0	4.3
· .	East-	17 -20.8.1974	74	5.5	2.0- 8.0	0.9
•	Centrel	20 -26.7.1975	1230	3.9	I.0-10.5	2.9
		18 -28.7.1976	436	5.6	2.0-10.5	2.7
	West-	6-15.8.1974	392	8.I	3.0-12.0	2.6
	Central	17-26.7.1975	803	3.I	I.0-8.0	I.7
		26-28.7.1976	6I	5.7	3.0- 8.5	3.I
	South-	15-21.8.1974	356	6.4	2.0-II.5	4.5
	Central	21.7- 1.8.1975	590	4.0	I.0- 8.5	2.7
		17 -26.7.1976	457	6.9	2.5-II.0	3.4
	•				, · _ ,	•

References

DAAN N., HISLOP J.R.G., HOLDEN M.J. and LAHN-JOHANESSON, 1975.

Report of the pelagic O-group gadoid survey in the North Sea in 1975. ICES C.M. 1975/F:33.

JONES R., 1954. The analysis of trawl haul statistics with particular references to the estimation of survival rates. Rapp. P.-V. Cons. int. Explor. Mer., 140, 30-39.

List of figures

Fig. 1. The number of O-group haddock specimens per standard hauling in July 1973:-

Fig. 2. The number of O-group whiting specimens per standard hauling in July 1973.

Fig. 3. The number of O-group haddock specimens per standard hauling in July-August 1974.

- Fig. 4. The number of O-group whiting specimens per standard hauling in July-August 1974.
- Fig. 5. The number of O-group whiting specimens per_standard hauling in June-July 1975.
- Fig. 6. The number of O-group whiting specimens per standard hauling in June-July 1975.
- Fig. 7. The number of O-group haddock specimens per standard hauling in July-August 1976.
- Fig. 8. The number of O-group whiting specimens per standard hauling in July-August 1976.
- Fig. 9. Mean number of 0-group haddock specimens per standard hauling in 1973-1976 (upper figure) and the number of haulings (lower figure).
- Fig. 10. Mean number of 0-group whiting specimens per standard hauling in 1973-1976 (upper figure) and the number of haulings (lower figure).
- Fig. 11. The areas of stable occurrence of O-group haddock (solid line) and whiting (broken line). The region where no young fish was available and which was not covered by the averaging procedure is shaded.

Fig. 12. A supposed standard survey area according to Daan et al. (1975) - solid line; the borders of the traditional survey areas in the North Sea - broken line.





	5 4	• 3		2	I () <u> </u>	0	2 3	0 4	0	5	6 [°] '	7 8	3 9	I
62*														1 1	
•											R.	: محمد المحمد المحم محمد المحمد ا			
61~				_11	8	2	0	3			Le l		•		
				3)	I6	0	. 2	9			Ę	* *			-
50v ·		•			-4	2	-0	-3-			de la				
~_0		r N		116	0	0	0	5			2.			5	
3 9			50	10	3	0	0	0						2	
F0 ⁰		2		0	0	0	0	I			2 A 22 - 2	\sum		2	
58-	14	1	-ما	L.0	4	I5	I	0		200 200 200					
570 .				0	0	0	0	0.			0	0	<u>.</u> 0	لملم	
				2	Ì	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Son.	
56 ⁰	ß	~	2 						0	- 0	0	0	0	5	
	A:	•	•					0	0	0	0	0		he is	
55 ⁰		7						0	0			. 0		ta.	
			3	• , U	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0		-
54 ⁰		(}		-	0	0	0	0					1	



18

Fig:4

į	0	4 ⁰ 3	3 2	0	n o)°	I I	20	° 3 4	4 5	0	0	7 ⁰	8 9	9
62 ⁰				0	I	2	0	0	0	, F	2		,	1	
0	_			0.	I	2	275	10	0	9	Rest of the second seco	•		• •	
610	••	0	0	I	ç ₃	86	62	312			الريد				•
		Ι	0	3	146	121	27	17			Ę		•		· · ·
60		. 2	9	0	4	Ĩ	7	10	* y.,1997 y.y.		es,	• •	•		~
		2.		Ö.	0	I.	30	8			7.3				
59 ⁰			0	0	0	0	0	0.			- 1	4 			2
		مر		0	0	0	0	I	-			2		2	
58 ⁰	A			0	I	З	0	0							
•			1 2	0	0	0	0	0	a Sala Z		22	8	.8		أر
57 ⁰		·. · ·		0	0	0	0	0	2	I	0	0	I	A	ป.
0	ki		5		 				0	0	0	° 0	0	h	
6	Ľ							0	0	0	0	0		Ľ	•
_0		B m	•			 	0	Ò	0	0	0	0			
5						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	1 mi	,
0		~~	3			0	0	0	0	0.	0	0	k	4	
/*# 		6	2	L		0	0	0	0	0				195	2

_____ I9

Fig. 5

•	5 4	0	3 2	20	I (0 I	0 [2 3	0	4 5	0 5 6	2	0	8 9	9 0 .1	0 [0
62				0	0	0.	0	I	.40	1	2			J		
				0	0	0 [.]	610	38	12	,	R.	•	,			
61		0	0	0	24	0	II	447					•	×		
. 0		0	0	3)	0	0	0	25			L.			· · ·		
60		0	I.	3	0	0	0	0			0					· .
_ 0		5		0	0	0	0	8			2.	•	• •	,	2	
59	m	0.	12	33	0	0	0	21			ſ	, i	**** • •		2	
0		2		9	9	0	0	0		с. К.		2		<u></u>		
58	LA		لب	12	406	62	0	.0		- 4 				1.1		
67		•	ł	104	88	8	I	I			Ï94	207	457			ľ
57	Ļ.		L	23	6	0	0	0	43	61	29.	149	180	S	と	
56	k.		2						16.	6	3	81	14	h		
	5	•	2 - 2	\sim				226	165	58	18	0 .		£	· •	
55							222	121	55	30	0	0		L.		
		↓ {· ·	•	- <u>}</u>	L _e	47	16	55	22	0	0	0) -	
0		· `\] .	• •	:. ¥	29	58	91	53	4		0			لم الم	
. 04			?). 		27	97.	35	58	0				<u>I</u>	1	

fig. o

62⁰ . 0 61⁰ Ô 60⁰. I Ò Ŀ I 0 10 Í 0_ L 59⁰ Ò З I 58⁰ .0 0. 57⁰ Ten З ľ 56⁰ Ö 55⁰ Ó 54⁰

rig:4

_0 4 0 7⁰ I⁰ 2⁰ .0 4 80,90 I I . o 3

- 2I .

	7		, ,		,	1		5	4	5 	<u>ь</u>	7 1	8
1997 • •					0	0		n te Standt		2~			
			0	0	۵	0	0			R			
				0 0	0	0	. 0	0	* *	E		 	
			3)	0	0	Ĩ	0	0		Ę			
	0	0	A	0	0	0	0	0		R S			
	No.		2	0	0	0	0	I		2.	•		-
		10	12	I	0	0	0	5					
	2	34	0	⁰ .	17	5	0	0	0		\searrow	2~~~	۷
L	-	لمت	10	II	II	.0	¹ .0	0	- 0	0 (
		1	,15	19	I	0	0	2	0	0	0	94	
		\mathcal{L}	I	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	74	30	Ĩ
l		2	I	0	0	0	0	5	3	8	23	16	
ζ		L. L.		4	0	9	7	42	86	7	17	3	2
1	Jamas			27	5	6	4	0	6	0	0	0	
	1		V	0	0	19	80	18	0 :	5	0	0	h
]			3	0	0	8	I	10	295		
	6	2		-~~	18	0	0	0	0	0		-	کر

55⁰

54⁰

. !	5 4	0	3 2	20	I (ò 1 C	0	s S 3	0 4	D E	6 5 6	0	,0	8	9 <u>1</u> (
62 ⁰			1	0 I	I I	I 2	02	0 I	: 0 I	F	2	- • •	, •	۱.	
			•	0 2	0.5 2	I 2	138 2	5 2	0 I	0	Ē.		- 		
61 ⁰		0 I	0 I	I	6.2	29.2 4	16.7 4	93.2 4	I 2	•			•	· · · ·	
		I I	0 I	3	·42 4	33.7 4	10.5 4	14.5 4	02		Ę		:		
60 ⁰		ł	5 2	00 I	3.3 4	56.3 4	28.8	4.2 4	14.5 2		es s	· · ·			
•		0 . I		31.7 4	0.2	0.7	9 4	44	0 2		Ves				
59 ⁰		0	14.2 4	2.5 4	2.7	0 4	. 0 4	I.5 4	4.5 2		R			5. 5. 4. 5. 4. 1.	7
		مر	0 I	2.5	6.5 4	I.7 4	2.2	1.5 4	22	0 I		\searrow		1	
58 ⁰	T X			24	I.2 4	4.5	0.2	4.5	6 2	23 I	0 I				
•			ł	15	04	0 4	0 4	0 4	1 2	0 1	7.3 3	2.6 3	5.6 3		\Box
57 ⁰		· · · ·	I	0.7 3	1.2 4	0	0	0 4	0.5 4	0.3	З	0.3	0.3 3		າ]
•	a			0 I ·	• 0 I	0 I	0 I	0 I	03	0 3	0 3	03	0 3	h	
56 ⁰	K				0 I	0 I	0 I	· 0 3	0 3	0 3	I 3 ·	0 3	I I	Ľ	
56 0		A	•		- 0 - 1	1 0 1	: 0 : 3	0 3	03	0	03.	03		L)	
00-		Ц	· · ,	ľ		3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	m 1	→ → →
54 ⁰	<u> </u>		3.			3	30	3	3	3	3	3	2		2 -
	L		2	1		3	3	3	3	2	Í			12	2

Fig 9

23

۲.,

	T			Q	Q Q	0	0	Ţ	40	6	3	, , 		
				1 0 2	02	2 0 2	2 305 2	1 19 2	I2 I2				•	• •
	T	0. I.	0 I	0 I	4	0.7 4	2.7	123 4	0 2			•		
		0 I	0 I	રે)	21 4	3.7	IU.7 4	20 4	0 2		Ę	•	· . ·	
		2	0,5	30	25 4	12.7 4	5 4	15 4	0 2		20		- - 	•
·		I		25.6	14	0.4	5 4	12.3 4	0.5	•	240		•	
ma	Ţ	. 9.	35 . 4	37.7	7.5 4	-0. 4	0 4	9.5 4	2.5 2				•	
		فر	34 I	14.2	8.7	4.7	I.2 4	2.2 4	02	0 I				لمرز
	E	ينهر		12.7	119 4	41.7	8 4	6.5 4	02	0 1	0 I	14. je	• *	
				35 4	27 4	7.2 4	0.2 4	0.2 4	I 2	0 I	97.7 3	91 7 3	185 . 3	
			1	25 3	8.5	0 4	0.4	04	19 4	53.7 3	12.7 3	75.3 3	75.3 3	Z
a			5	I	0 I	0 I	0 I	0 I	7 3	3 3	3.7 3	86.7 3	12.7 3	h
K		5 5 5 2			4 I	0 I	9 1	77.7 3	69 3	48 3	9 3	6.3 3	3 _ I	2
	7				7 <u>I</u>	5 I	76 3	4I.7 3	19 3	15 3	2.3	3.3	0 I	Lì
	1	5	• •	<u>ا</u> ر ا	LI	33.2	$\frac{11.7}{3}$	45	22.7	3	2.3	3	2	n
	4	<u>_</u>	;			3	3	$\frac{30}{12}$	20 3	$\frac{14}{3}$	3	3	2	
			2	£	-	1 :33	13:	12	3	<u>]. ž</u>	Ĭ	li.	\sim	كما

::

24

6° 7° 8° 9° 10°



70 80 90 100 40 5⁰ 30 20 4° 5° 6° I⁰ 0⁰ I⁰ 2⁰ 30 62⁰ 0 61⁰ 17.7 NORTHERN 60⁰ AREA 34 0 J. 59⁰ NORTH-CENTRAL AHEA 58⁰ 57⁰ 门 r, CENTRAL ø 56⁰ . AREA 55⁰ SOUTH-CENTRAL AHEA 54⁰

Технический ре	едактор Е.Б.Рабкина		
Подп. в печ. 28/УІ 1977 г.	.Формат 60x84 I/8	Тираж	200
Объем 3,5 п.л.		Jaras	756
ЦНИИТЭИРХ, 10192	25. Москва, ул. Архипова,	4/2	

N

•